UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,033	04/23/2004	Michael R. Duck	PAT 957-2 US	7506
26123 BORDEN LAT	7590 06/15/200 ONER GERVAIS LLP	7	EXAMINER	
WORLD EXC	HANGE PLAZA		CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
100 QUEEN S' OTTAWA, ON	TREET SUITE 1100 I K1P 1J9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	. •		3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		0 /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/830,033	DUCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	<u> April 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-25</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	·				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rea	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	Mail Date mal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-11, 14-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,957,747 to Peeler et al. in view of US 1,984,296 to Witter.

Peeler shows singe and multiple dispensing pumps as seen in Figs. 2 and 4, and Fig. 5 respectively, having a cylindrical chamber 16, a dispensing outlet 57, and a piston 42 with a seal. A threaded drive 13 connected to the piston by coupler 14, anti-rotation device 64 with a slidable guide tube; see Fig. 3, a stepper motor 12, a user interface 24, see column 9, lines 21-34, a microprocessor 22 and wired and wireless communication ports, see column 9, lines 46 and 47. Peeler shows all claimed features as discussed above except for inlet and outlet check valves in a front flange. Witter shows a dispenser as seen in Fig. 1 having a check valves 40 and 48 in a flange with rigid inlet conduit and outlet conduits, see Fig. 1. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Peeler to include a flange with an intake and an outlet check valve to reduce the number of controllers needed to operate the device by allowing the fluid pressure generated by the piston to control the intake and outlet valves as taught by Witter.

Art Unit: 3754

3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,957,747 to Peeler et al. as modify by US 1,984,296 to Witter as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of US 6,968,983 to Laible.

The Peeler-Witter combination shows all claimed features as discussed above except for a cap with a check valve for the supply container. Laible shows a cap 76 with an air intake check valve as seen in Fig. 5. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of the Peeler-Witter combination to include a cap with a check valve to prevent liquid to back flow during transportation and installation of the container and permits ambient air to enter the interior of the container as the liquid is drawn therefrom as taught by Laible.

4. Claims 12,13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,957,747 to Peeler et al. as modify by US 1,984,296 to Witter as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of US 5,284,423 to Holdsworth et al.

The Peeler-Witter combination shows all claimed features as discussed above except for an acrylic container, a position sensor and a LCD interface panel. Holdsworth shows a computer controlled positive displacement pump as seen in Figs. 1 and 6, having a cylindrical chamber constructed of acrylic, position sensors 41 and 43 and a user LCD interface 25 including a button panel. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an acrylic container, position sensor and LCD interface in the device of the Peeler-Witter combination to permit the user of the device to see the content of the chamber, make the process of dispensing automatic and allow interaction with the device to modify the dispensing parameters as taught by Holdsworth et al.

Art Unit: 3754

5. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,957,747 to Peeler et al. as modify by US 1,984,296 to Witter as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of US 5,152,429 to Billings.

The Peeler-Witter combination shows all claimed features as discussed above except for the containers connected to a plurality of pumps in a cabinet and flexible tubes connected to a nozzle cap. Billings shows a plurality supply container 61-64 connected to a plurality of pumps 1-4 in a cabinet, as seen in Figs. 1 and 2, and a nozzle dispenser 52 connected to the individual pumps by manifold 46 and flexible tubes 51. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of the Peeler-Witter combination in a cabinet with multiple supply containers and pumps connected to a dispensing nozzle by flexible connectors to protect the dispensing mechanism and permitting the user to hand held the dispensing nozzle to multiple location while maintaining the dispensing device in one fix location as taught by Billings.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/830,033 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAC G/11/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER